

Dr. Durand-Hollis has openly acknowledged that I am a gifted individual of above average intelligence, with a special talent for writing. It is my opinion that his diagnosis disregards this fact; hence, he has judged the validity of my technical and philosophical works (entitled OVER THE RAINBOW a journal of 21st century technology and RAINBOW TRIBUNAL, respectively) from the perspective of a psychiatrist analyzing the works of a novice author, without offering due credit to my intellectual capabilities or to his own lack of expertise in the highly specialized areas researched in these manuscripts. As such, I request with all due respect, to be allowed the opportunity to present for your review a scientific paper in the field of psychiatry entitled RAINBOW FEVER, An alternative view of the schizophrenic condition.

The following data is attached as a circumstantial defense of my own stable presence of mind, intended to petition for the benefit of your doubt. I hope this will present sufficient cause for you to review the work of a scientist and humanitarian, though diagnosed a paranoid schizophrenic, with professionalism and dedication to the advancement of science. Who better than a gifted writer to offer insight into the schizophrenic condition, to petition your review of a proposal which may contain valid insights into the mechanics of the human mind? A synopsis of this paper is appended.

1) "Compound, myopic astigmatism...glasses"

Date of origin: 1975

Incurred while entitled to base pay: No

The following eye examinations were completed by the appropriate military doctors:

<u>Location and Date</u>	<u>Vision</u>
U.S. Naval Academy, entrance examination, 1973	20/20
U.S. Naval Academy, prior to discharge, 1975	20/40
Ft. Jackson, Basic Training Examination Oct 1982	20/40
Ft. Sam Houston, TMC examination Aug 1984	20/20

2) Other medical problems

- a. A severe knee injury incurred in Basic Training. Documented fully in my medical file.
- b. A psychiatric impediment, "lack of assertiveness," documented by Cpt. Mark Chapin, McAfee Health Clinic, White Sands Missile Range NM. This documentation includes an MMPI test evaluated at Beaumont Medical Center, Ft. Bliss TX. (NOTE: Cpt Chapin was informed in writing of a majority of those items detailed in the statement sent to LTC Howard, Inspector General of Ft. Sam Houston and later given to Dr. Durand-Hollis for inclusion in my medical file.)
- c. Two instances of severe chest pains in February 1984, diagnosed by Cpt. Chapin to be psychosomatic in nature.

3) "Marginal adjustment" to the following demands

a. Academic

1973 Graduation from high school at age 16; National Honor Society
 1973-1974 Louisiana State University; 33 Credits with 3.9 GPA; Dean's List (attended LSU because I was too young to be appointed to the Naval Academy)
 1974-1976 U.S. Naval Academy; 3.5 GPA earned for 93 hours in Mechanical Engineering curriculum; Dean's List first 3 semesters;

- Superintendent's List for academic, professional, physical and leadership excellence. Ranked 21st in my class. (Resigned voluntarily because loss of 20/20 vision rendered me ineligible for flight training)
- 1976-1978 University of Texas at Austin; 3.3 GPA for 74 semester hours
Engineering Scholar, BSME cum laude in December 1978
- 1979 Exxon Co. U.S.A.'s 4-week drilling engineering school
- 1980-1982 Conducted independent research on alternate energy devices and techniques. Work summarized in a manuscript entitled "A Journal of 21st Century Technology" (Positive evaluation of this book by NASA scientists with the Project Development Group in Houston was included in the aforementioned statement sent to LTC Howard)
- 1984 The above letter from NASA sufficed to earn me a meeting with the Commanding General of White Sands Missile Range to present a laser design derived from my technical theories. Also present at this meeting were Dr. Davies, Project Manager of the High Energy Laser Project and Mr. Hogg a project engineer. A letter from NASA regarding this project is enclosed, wherein I asked them to review the theoretical basis of this proposal.

b. Occupational

- 1974-1976 U.S. Naval Academy; Company Administrative officer for 2 years, Company Representative for 1 year, Company Commander responsible for over 100 peers during 6 weeks summer exercises on the East Coast.
- 1979-1980 Associate Engineer for Exxon Co USA, Southeastern Division, Inland Drilling Group, New Orleans LA Duties in petroleum engineering included planning, design, equipment procurement, geological evaluation, computer analysis, and on-site supervision of the following drill wells:
- 15 Sour gas Caprock wells, Lake Washington LA
 - 7 development wells, Pecan Island LA (abnormal pressure formation)
 - 2 outpost wells, Pecan Island LA (pressure regression formation)
 - 1 \$12 million rank wildcat well with abnormal pressure and sour gas in a field which had seen two blowouts within one mile of the site
- **Assigned to the abnormal pressure surveillance team on the Mongure wildcat near Jackson Mississippi. This was the deepest, hottest, highest pressure well drilled inland by Exxon until this time. (I have a copy of all the above work I completed for Exxon USA)
- 1982-present U.S. Army
- Letter of recommendation from XO at Chanute AFB is included
- 2nd in my class at AIT for 93E (weather observer)
- Outstanding performance as a weather observer at White Sands Missile Range
- Army Medal of Commendation presented by the IG LTC Kassim, the Director of Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory LTC(P) Ellis, the CO, XO, and First Sergeant of Hq & Hq Company, ASL

c. Family

- My father died in January 1979; as the eldest son I have tried my best to keep my family together in the absence of his leadership and strength. In persuant to this I have made the following major efforts since he died:
1. A three month stay with my sister in Canada, to assist her in coping with the trauma surrounding the (then) impending trial of her fiance for drug trafficking
 2. Numerous trips to my home in Austin, to assist my mother in any way possible to make a new life for herself. Several extended stays to effect major home repairs.
 3. Counseling and advice given to my younger brother John during these visitis, regarding career choices and preparation for the university
 4. A recent involvement in my eldest sister's planned divorce. The advice I offered was a determining factor in helping them overcome their difficulties.

d. Social

Note: My family moved 20 times in my first 16 years of life; I have moved 16 times since then. Hence, there was scant opportunity to develop any strong and lasting social ties.

1981 My social goals were centered mainly on my creative writings which portrayed in manuscript form major problem(s) in organized religion. My book was intended to encourage change for the betterment of the faithful, change through incisive criticism.

1984 ARCOM awarded for my efforts at improving the living conditions and welfare of personnel in the enlisted barracks of HHC-ASL, White Sands.

4) "Grandiose delusions"

a. Versus a special (technical) formula (ie OVER THE RAINBOW)

The following individuals or organizations have studied my technical work:

*NASA's Project Development Group, Johnson Space Center Houston

*TAB Books, Inc via Kim Tabor, Acquisitions Editor

-upon receipt of the final proof of my manuscript, TAB hired several experts in relativity to evaluate my manuscript. I was told by Ms. Tabor that these evaluations were favorable, but the material was too complex for their readers.

*Dr. Melvin E. Oakes, Professor of Physics, University of Texas at Austin

*Dr. Steve Moran and Frank Tirpak with the Electro-optics Department of the Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego

-they cautioned me that any designs or theories derived which were more detailed or precise than those provisional designs presented in my manuscript should be treated with caution as potentially classified material

*NASA's evaluation of my recent computer analysis (enclosed)

(Note: The substantiating data, in the form of 3 additional technical volumes is en route from White Sands Missile Range)

b. Versus religious material and concepts (ie RAINBOW TRIBUNAL)

This literary endeavor, my second philosophical volume, had as its major premise the possible connection of Roman Catholicism to Marxism. A strong premise for such a connection was developed by the following means:

1. Historical evidence quoted by reputable historians
2. Statistical evidence of recent data compiled by a UN agency
3. Current events

The above communist influence was the proposed conclusion drawn from the evidence presented. As a literary endeavor, the verdict is overly strong and forceful, and emotionally involved so to interest the reader in a text not simply a dry analysis of Roman Catholic dogma. Thus, the precepts presented therein do not represent the author's staunch views, only a reflection of his doubts and concern were such a relationship valid. Any such deductions of the author's religious beliefs are invalid and a reflection of the reader's intolerance for religious freedom of belief.

(Note: A copy of this text was sent to the Jesuit Community in Austin, and excerpts sent to several publishers. After their rejection for publication, I decided not to try further. I did not even mention this text to anyone until January 1984 in a session with Cpt Chapin at Community Mental Health, White Sands.)

5) "Persecutory delusions"

The events which took place at White Sands Missile Range from 30 March until 22 May illicit the following reactions of concern from my superiors:

-LTC(P) Ellis on 22 May; I was to leave White Sands ASAP, not even allowed to stay in the housing area for a few days to affect needed repairs on my car.

- SFC Reese, PSNCO on 28 May; I was pushing my luck to stay in Las Cruces; any one who helped me was cautioned or disciplined against doing anything on my behalf (this was the view of those whom helped me).
- CPT Hlavac, CO on 5 June; Only she and my First Sergeant would know where I would be transferred, all administrative details and outprocessing would be done by them alone.
- Mrs. Fitzsimmons, DA MILPERCN; only she, MSG Hadley at Ft. Sam, CPT Hlavac and Representative J.J. Pickle's office would know of my PCS move to Ft. Ord. (My attachment to USAG at Ft. Sam Houston was to be for a maximum of 30 days, until my records arrived from White Sands and I could travel to Ft. Ord.)
- Representative J.J. Pickle's office, Austin TX; My proposed reassignment to Ft. Bliss following the incidents which illicited the above reaction and orders from DA MILPERCN was changed to Ft. Sam Houston. A month later, when I petitioned his assistance in getting permanently assigned to Ft. Sam Houston I was told that US Army regulations require that a SM be transferred at least a 24-hour drive away from a life-threatening situation.

I was in the above life-threatening situation (derived from drug users and dealers who discovered my identity the day after I turned them in, 31 March) until 22 May. During this time the following action was taken on my behalf:

1. I was moved to another room in the billets (three of those I turned in were roommates)
2. The last two weeks on post I slept at an NCO's home in the housing area
3. My reclassification paperwork was expedited by the post CSM
4. Chapter 13 discharge proceedings were initiated against me
5. I was relieved of my duties as a 93E and put on permanent detail by my CO
6. I was issued TDY orders to Ft. Huachuca, 225 miles distant, which I turned down, taking 30 days leave instead because I did not want to be so close to White Sands. This judgment was made on the basis of solemn, and sometimes very strongly worded advice given me by my superiors. (eg, Mr. Pries, the civilian chief of all Army meteorological teams told me "Clark, you better start taking this thing very seriously" in reference to the danger I was in; SFC Dodd, the military chief of all Army meteorological teams, told me later that day, "Clark, a few years ago if anyone found out who turned them in for drug usage, he (the informer) would be dead within 24 hours....")

6. "Moderate stress"

*The above drug scandal involved several coworkers and my roommates, who daily smoked marijuana in the bathroom opening off our rooms; sometimes several times a day. This took place from September 1983 until March 1984.

*From 1 June until 20 December 1983 my free time was almost exclusively devoted to finding, with the assistance of a \$4500 personal computer I bought in May, some means to apply my technical designs and theories for the betterment of the U.S. Army. Along with this research, I petitioned for, and was awarded, two meetings which required a great deal of preparation. For each I prepared a formal technical report, designed and optimized the computer analysis and verification for the design-cum-theory presented with each report, prepared the visual aids, etc. These two meetings were with:

1. LTC(P) Ellis, Director of Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory on 15 November
2. MG Fulwyler, Commanding General of White Sands on 20 December

The latter meeting required a completely different set of data and theory; that is, each of the two presentations were different.

*The events which took place following these presentations were so involved as to take 25 pages to describe to the Inspector General of Ft. Sam Houston. The major points in this "highly developed, complex delusional system" are as follows:

(NOTE: A copy of the following was issued to CPT Dycus, JAG, Ft. Sam Houston)

1. Under what conditions can an IG show a statement given to him in confidence to a psychiatrist for evaluation? What would be considered just cause for this psychiatrist or an associate of his to show this statement to the staff of the ward to which the author of said statement was admitted, to order the staff to read this statement?
2. What is the proper procedure to be followed by a CO in arranging a meeting with Community Mental Health for a service member? Is this SM allowed to know the purpose for this meeting?
3. Is this psychiatrist legally obliged to tell the individual in question--prior to the commencement of said interview--that he was given a statement in confidence; that any names, places, or other confidential details should be given with caution?
4. Under what conditions can an IG show a psychiatrist a confidential statement in which this psychiatrist is incriminated in a possible Court Martial offense? If this is a handwritten statement easily recognizable by the psychiatrist as the handwriting and literary style, as well as the educational level, of one of his patients?
5. What if the above psychiatrist, as a result of incriminations in said statement, placed the subject's psychiatric record on a permanent, profile status--what circumstantial evidence is required to verify that this action was made in spite and retribution for accusations made with honesty, sincerity, and appropriate evidence?
6. If this retributory action could be proven, then what effect would this release from a psychiatric profile have--if this profile proved to be a determining factor in a subsequent hospitalization for mental illness?
7. What are the ethical and military regulations applicable to a psychiatrist or social worker's confidential sessions with a patient? Can any consultation or diagnosis by said doctor, if the patient's psychiatric record is not on a permanent status, be allowed to have any affect upon a medical diagnosis of a physical illness? Also, is a psychiatrist required to include as a part of his diagnosis any previous psychiatrid data collected on this individual?
8. Under what conditions can a SM be totally banned form an Army installation, denied access to any of its facilities? Are his superiors authorized to limit or curtail any unofficial contacts this SM may wish to make with the technical staff of this installation, if such contacts involve in no way classified data?
9. If such denial (ie banned from a post) is found to be illegal, then has this SM any recourse to petition for any expenses incurred as a direct result of this denial of post facilities?
10. What is the proper recourse to be taken by a SM who has reason to believe he was sold a repossessed auto under false pretenses by a Federal Credit Union, if there is adequate past history by this Credit Union of reimbursing the consumer for any exhaustive repairs needed to said auto to make it road worthy--repairs not understood and compensated for by the Credit Union prior to the sale?
11. By what authority can a Credit Union demand payment of accounts due by a SM, before allowing the financial records of this SM to be released?
12. Is said Credit Union, operating under a Federal permit, in any way permitted to take detrimental action against a consumer for making an attempt through his auto insurance company to establish a claim for false advertising regarding a reposesed auto?
13. What if the above refusal to release US Army financial records resulted in detrimental action being taken against this SM, because of the time delay?
14. Can an installation personnel officer issue orders to a SM in direct contradiction to orders issued from DA MILPERCN regarding duration of assignment?
15. Is it possible for a SM to be responsible to two chains of command, each on the sam post or on different posts, during either an assignment or attachment?
16. If a SM proposes a project to his superiors which later results in an improvement or readiness/capability of the US Army, is he entitled to a financial reward for his suggestion or contribution?

17. If so, is this SM justified in trusting the U.S. Army, to the extent of rewarding him for his efforts on its behalf; that is, this SM is not required to protect the idea or device by patent or copyright, believing fully that from past history, the Army will act in good faith?
18. If this is not the case, then are U.S. Army scientific research organizations required by law--as are their civilian counterparts in the defense industry--to be provided with proof of patent application or copyright, as the case may be, before said project or proposal can be accepted for review and evaluation by this organization, as represented by its chief counsel?
19. Under what conditions of security and sensitivity are designs and/or scientific theories patented or copyrighted?
20. Can a SM be harassed or disciplined in any way by his superiors for following the advice of two reputable and authoritative scientists gainfully employed by the Navy, whom advised said SM to be cautious with his scientific data in the event that very detailed designs and/or mathematically explicit theories could be construed as potentially classified data?
21. Is a psychiatrist required by ethics and medical practice to make no prejudicial judgment, eg regarding religious convictions, upon an individual prior to his personal interview with this SM, and the subsequent diagnosis of his illness?
22. Is a psychiatric patient therefore allowed the privilege of having his case reviewed by a board of evaluation, without any prior knowledge by said board which might in the future constitute prejudicial evidence?
23. Is a psychiatrist required to be given a privacy act release statement by his patient prior to the discussion of this patient's diagnosis/case history before a professional meeting of psychiatrists?
24. Can any pressure or inducement be applied; intimated or otherwise; by a psychiatrist upon his patient to give his permission to the doctor to present his case before this professional meeting?
25. If no legally acceptable diagnosis has been rendered and verified about this patient, prior to the above presentation of his (so-called) diagnosis, can the patient be present at this meeting--or represented by legal counsel therein--in order that slanderous or prejudicial evidence not be presented without the proper objection(s)?
26. At what point in the medical boarding process for a psychiatric patient is this patient legally considered to be afflicted by the specific mental illness for which he is being medically boarded?
27. At any time, can a patient be rendered (on the basis of a psychiatrist's diagnosis) to be incapable of managing his own affairs, to the extent of being required by medical precedent to give power of attorney to another person?
28. Prior to either of the above, am I correct in assuming that legally no aspect of said diagnosed illness can be allowed to prejudice any testimony offered by said service member?
29. What are those actions required of a commanding officer to be taken against a service member who during active duty makes contact by correspondence with a foreign government?
30. Is an IG, when notified of any such correspondence, allowed by regulations to make a decision without contacting any other military investigative agency?
31. If the scientific data sent to a foreign government is found to be of faulty value and pertinence by those scientists charged with its evaluation, are there there no further grounds for prosecution or other action--such as revocation of security clearance?
32. If said data is found at some later date to be of value and applicability, would it constitute a case of double jeopardy for this service member to be liable for legal action for this treasonous act?
33. If this service member has reason to believe that said data was not properly evaluated; knows from authoritative sources in military intelligence, that those charged with the evaluation of his theoretical and design premises were not fully

particular discipline involved; can he be faulted with making every possible effort to ensure that said data receives the requisite attention--under the possibility that a foreign nation may possess scientific data unavailable to this country (if this foreign nation had viable substantiating data assimilated in manuscript form, not included in this evaluation by U.S. Army scientists)?

34. Under what conditions can a defense of mental imparity constitute a just defense against such a treasonous act?
35. Under what conditions or impediments in the interests of national security can a member of the armed forces be psychologically diagnosed of delusions of grandeur for designs and/or theories which are protected under restricted access; especially if the attending physician's clearance does not allow him access to said knowledge?
36. Can a service member's superiors take any action, formal or informal, for his writing any of the following federal officials on matters which in no way involve political affairs: Representative or Senator, General officers outside the chain of command... Can a service member's superior officer require that he be notified of any such correspondence prior to its issuance?
37. Conversely, is a general officer who has a personal relationship with the Commander-in-Chief, permitted by regulations to use this political affiliation in the protection of his own interests?
38. Under what conditions is a post commander permitted, following two written requests, to keep in his possession a technical paper presented to him by a service member; if, in so doing, he denies the SM pertinent evidence in the defense of his scientific claims. Under what justificaition can he withhold this project, if it was determined to be of negligable value and scientific acumen, and if written notificaition of same was given to the SM?

1. The PEB liason officer allowed me to read the medical "Narrative Summary" FORM 502 signed by Dr. Durand-Hollis on 28 September 1984. This was the first opportunity allowed me to read this statement.
2. The rebuttal I submitted to the MEB secretary on 12 October was based only on the DA Form 3947, and several comments made to me by Dr. Durand-Hollis in the doctor's rounds at Ward 52B. These remarks referenced my above average intelligence and writing ability, whereby he encouraged me to "share my wisdom and learning with my fellow patients."
3. The following statements are offered to contest statements made on FORM 502.

SOCIAL AND FAMILY HISTORY

4. "residing in Austin since 1976" is valid, with the following exceptions:

Jan 1978 - Apr 1980	New Orleans, Louisiana
Aug 1980 - Dec 1980	Victoria, British Columbia Canada
Jul 1982 - Aug 1982	Minneapolis, Minnesota

MILITARY HISTORY

5. "forced by circumstances to resign his appointment in August 1976" is valid, with the proviso that the determining factor in my voluntary resignation from the U.S. Naval Academy was the loss of 20/20 vision which rendered me ineligible for the pilot training I had hoped to enroll in following graduation.

REVIEW OF SYSTEMS

6. "premature baby--7 months and three weeks gestation;" I am unsure of this data, and at no time divulged even an estimate of the extent of my gestation.
7. "spent first year in an incubator," is false. I informed Dr. Durand-Hollis what I thought to be the true length of my incubation, sixteen months. I have since been informed by my mother that I was in an incubator six weeks.
8. "during that year, he allegedly developed spinal meningitis. Later on, he also had rheumatic fever and jaundice." According to my best knowledge, all three illnesses occurred during the time I was in an incubator.

CHIEF COMPLAINT

9. "I turned in a statement to the IG." I complained about the result of the statement I submitted to LTC Howard, but most strongly voiced my dismay and confusion at being diagnosed to be mentally ill, and of all the negative repercussions such would have upon my professional career goals.

HISTORY OF PRESENT ILLNESS

10. "He thought that his statement may have created an impression of him trying to get back at some of the people he had mentioned in his statement." I stated that the purpose of my statement to the IG was not vengeance or anger against the U.S. Army or any of its officers or NCO's, but the manner in which my situation at White Sands Missile Range had been handled; that is, I did not feel that I had been wrongfully treated according to Army regulations, but that the rules had failed to allow me what I felt were my rights and privileges as a soldier in the U.S. Army. As such, I felt obliged to make a strong stand such that in the future people whom encountered problems such as I had seen would be treated fairly.

11. "Although the same statement may have made people consider that he could have a mental problem; therefore, a psychiatric evaluation would be considered necessary." I was informed by Dr. Gillooly at TMC and Dr. Durand-Hollis that the statement I sent to LTC Howard was the main reason why I had been admitted for a psychiatric evaluation; that is, I was led to believe that if I had not written that statement, then I would not have been hospitalized.
12. "He knew that he had been asked* by his CO on 27 July 1984 to accompany him to the Troop Medical Clinic when an appointment had been set up for him with the CMHS." At approximated 1300 hrs on 27 July 1984, I was informed by SFC Crumity, NCOIC of the Main Post Chapel, to report ASAP to my CO. I was not told anything by SFC Crumity about the nature of the appointment set for me with my CO. Upon entering my CO's office, I was briefly questioned about my contacts with Rep. Pickle's office, and that of the IG, by my CO and First Sergeant. I was then told I had an appointment, arranged by direction of the IG, to see Dr. Gillooly at TMC. I was then allowed to return to the Post Chapel on the understanding that I could make my appointment on time, that I could ask one of my coworkers to drive me to TMC. No mention was made concerning the nature of the appointment. I therefore assumed, with some relief, that the reference I had made in my statement to LTC Howard concerning chest pains I had experienced at White Sands (pains which I felt had not received proper attention from the staff at McAfee Health Clinic) had been acted upon. My CO did not accompany me to CMHS, and at no time did I give any indication that such was the case, to Dr. Durand-Hollis.
13. "After having been seen there, his mental status examination revealed some thought confusion, social alienation, impending life threats, multiple ideas in reference to religion and grandiose beliefs of delusional quality, as well as ideas of reference." Some thought confusion and social alienation resulted from the circumstances outlined in #12 above. Impending life threats were at no time suggested, only a concern regarding the outcome of accusations I initiated at White Sands. Religion was mentioned only in reply to specific questions by the psychiatrist. Grandiose beliefs were taken as such by Dr. Gillooly, as I stated several times that I was quite unsure of my technical and philosophical work, and sought only a professional critique or evaluation of them.
14. "He also revealed obsessive preoccupation with Biblical interpretations giving evidence to his specific theories." I in no way now hold, or have ever held, any obsessive preoccupation with any Biblical intonations related to my technical work. This connection was not discussed in this interview, and was but briefly noted in my statement to the IG--one or two sentences out of 25 pages of single spaced print. The particular equation referenced here was logically and mathematically derived from many established scientific equations. The only possible bearing it had upon my technical pursuits was to provide me with the drive, dedication, and faith to forbear against great personal doubts about the validity of my work, and the tremendous difficulties I have encountered in gaining some recognition for it. Finally, in relation to my intense hatred of Marxist doctrines, aptly illustrated in my manuscript RAINBOW TRIBUNAL, and of my efforts to combat its influence whenever possible, I felt it worth the benefit of doubt to divulge this so-called Biblical nature of my equation for the following reason: Were such an equation put to use in a Communist, atheistic, nation, I felt it might in a small way be an unavoidable inconvenience to Marxist doctrines as currently practiced.
15. "In his statement, he indicated evidence of tangential thinking and loose associations" My statement to the IG, which I was told was used as a basis for this interview, presents a great number of situations and theories. ~~By rapidly changing from one~~ topic to another, by having me return psychologically and emotionally to each situation

ier to describe my rationale and emotions at the time, I was deftly led through otic and painful merry-go-round of interrelated circumstances. The loose iations existed: (1) because the statement the doctor had received from the d all the pertinent names, places, and organizations blacked out, and (2) I not given the opportunity to fully explain the missing interrelationships in tatement.

.making allegations about his importance to the FBI, CIA, NASA, and the Israeli ernment." At no time did I mention the CIA or FBI, either to Dr. Gillooly or Durand-Hollis. I mentioned NASA only in reference to the positive letter of luation from their Projeet Development Group in Houston, regarding my theories a manuscript entitled OVER THE RAINBOW, A Journal of 21st Century Technology. mentioned the Israeli government out of guilt in posting to their Embassy in ashington D.C. a copy of a technical presentation given to MG Niles Fulwyler-- communication immediately revealed to the authorities at WSMR, and fully investigated here. I made no allegations about any importance I might have to any of these rganizaitons; indeed, I have been completely ignored by each of them, as would e expected.

"When examined in Ward 52B he corroborated in great detail, most of the above al- legations..." Dr. Durand-Hollis asked me two or three fairly vague questions in relation to these so-called allegations, pointing to portions of my statement as he asked for verification of some very minor points therein.

"When examined in Ward 52B, he... indicated that he had a copy available of his basic equation which had been a bi-product of 2½ years of his own personal research." I made particular note that this "basic equation" was substantiated by a 250-page manuscript, plus several other manuscripts not mentioned in my statement to the IG. Even though the entire body of technical data can indeed be considered to be a single basic equation, this is the first time--after analyzing Dr. Durand-Hollis' statement on Form 502 that I have thought of it in this context. I made no state- ment to him that this could in any way be a possible interpretation of my manuscript.

9. "The equation had to do with a theoretical model that could be used to prepare a non-dissipating laser." I noted only that my non-dissipating laser was a product of my researches, intended to provide experimental verification and further technical experimental verification thereof. The association of non-dissipating laser to the "basic" (Energy Extraphase) equation is superficial at best, and in no way could have been realized from the outline of this project I told to Dr. Durand-Hollis.

0. "made reference to another work which he entitled Parallel Symbiosis" The intonated corollary between the title of this manuscript and my diagnosed illness is incorrect. This title was chosen only as appropriate to the relation of my manuscript to the original text of the Book of Job.

1. "the patient suspected his mother of having attempted to poison him." I have since contacted my mother, noting to her a recent (summer 1984) newspaper article regarding the detection of arsenic in the water used in towns in the Texas Hill Country NW of the house where this event reputedly took place. I encouraged her to look into the possibility that the water used in Lago Vista might also be con- taminated. I also assured her that this accusation I had made at the time was meant only to protect her from any repercussions from trouble I might encounter at some time in the future.

22. "His emotional response appeared flat, at times, clearly inadequate." I deny ever to have had flat emotions, only to having control over them when under examination by a man whom represents--through his diagnosis--a great threat to everything I believe in, or had hoped to achieve at some time in my lifetime.
NOTE: This statement, as well as subsequent statements itemized below appear to have no bearing upon my physical state.
23. "His thinking processes were characterized by well-concealed, systematized, delusional ideas of a grandiose and paranoid nature." I was given no opportunity in the hour-long interview I had with Dr. Durand-Hollis on 30 July to explain the concrete logic and reputable scientific evidence I had used to substantiate my theories and philosophies. I can, therefore, but assume that this evaluation was made on the basis of my statement to the IG. A statement written from a scant set of notes, and but briefly reviewed prior to its submission to LTC Howard. This statement was not meant to be a flowing literary creation, but a concise documentation of verifiable circumstances which I thought merited further consideration by the appropriate military authorities.
24. "For instance, he believed that he discovered some highly technical formula that has to do with the conservation of energy..." This so-called "formula" is a graphical interpretation of the Unified Field Theorum; in talking with Dr. Durand-Hollis I have never mentioned its relation to "conservation of energy," a term I seldom use; but in its application to "alternate energy devices." Nor is this an ill-founded delusion, but a potentially useful theorum substantiated in part by the letter from NASA enclosed in my statement to LTC Howard.
25. "...some highly technical formula...which could be practically applied to the eventual construction of an ultimate weapon." I made no mention whatsoever of an "ultimate weapon," nor have I ever done so in the past or ever thought of my designs as such. I simply noted the possibility that a singularity might be induced with the experimental operation of my non-dissipating laser design, and cited in my statement to the IG a nuclear test in Nevada that had resulted in an implosion of the kind which could be associated with a simulated singularity.
26. "He also believed that he had special insights into some religious material specifically the Book of Job and Revelation." I explained the manner in which I interpreted the Book of Job, and the satisfaction I felt at arriving at what seemed to be a comforting and logical story. No mention whatsoever was made of Revelations, other than in my statement to the IG.
27. "His speech was, in most areas, relevant, coherent and intelligent, but in dealing with the areas where he had his thinking disturbance, he appeared difficult to follow somewhat tangential, and not entirely logical or rational." I tried to talk to Dr. Durand-Hollis in a way in which a layman could understand. It is difficult to discuss very technical ideas with others not familiar with the mathematical logic of scientific research; much less ideas on space-time, relativity, and the quantum theories of light/laser operations.
28. "He did not admit to any disturbances of perception." I told him that I was experiencing great doubts and lack of self-confidence vis-a-vis my hospitalization. This perception had been strongly reinforced the morning prior to my interview with Dr. Durand-Hollis on 30 July, when Chaplain (COL) Saunders and SFC Crumity had come by Ward 52B stating, in part, "You don't belong here, Clark, we're going to take you back to work...."

29. "His judgment, outside his areas of distortion, was considered fair and his insight entirely lacking." I deny having areas of distortion, only particular ideas about which I am quite concerned, and hold in some doubt and fear. I also deny any lack of insight, for I tried to offer some personal insight whenever possible so to make fairly complex ideas and situations clear to the doctor. I am not a versed conversationalist, and feel that it is unfair not to have this taken under consideration.
30. "The rest of the physical exam was otherwise unremarkable." I would like to remark here that Chaplain Saunders and SFC Crumity have volunteered to testify that Dr. Durand-Hollis had confirmed his diagnosis of me prior to his interviewing me, based upon a review of the first eight pages of my statement to the IG.

CONSULTATIONS

31. "It was their (Psychology Service's) impression that the patient clearly demonstrated a complex, highly developed delusional system." I have not been allowed to view this evaluation of my psych tests, nor have I been successful in requesting another evaluation. One which is conducted in a serious and professional manner.
32. "It was considered that his level of intelligence could be partially masking any deterioration in role functioning." At no time have I been anything less than completely honest with Dr. Durand-Hollis, Dr. Smith or any other individuals whom I have been called in to see. This statement by Dr. Smith implies that I would have sufficient knowledge in psychiatry to act "normal," plus quite a bit higher an IQ than that attested to, to be able to conduct my complex affairs, work hard at Occupational Therapy, and still maintain a normal facade while living in a psychiatric ward and trying to make intelligible conversation with people whom are in many case quite mentally ill.

COURSE IN HOSPITAL

33. "Not sharing with the staff almost any of his thoughts." I have talked freely with any member of the staff whom expressed an interest in my situation, or asked about any of those events which led to my hospitalization. I have since learned that these events were already known to the staff at Ward 52B, as I understand they were ordered by Dr. Durand-Hollis to read the statement I sent to the IG.
34. "He was often seen writing without divulging the nature of his work." Anyone whom asked the nature of my work was given an explanation to their satisfaction, I felt. I would have welcomed it had anyone shown any interest in what I was doing. In reference to #33 above, I believe several items in my statement to the IG would have caused considerable doubt about my ideas, and staff members may have been hesitant to ask about my writings.
35. "On 6 September 1984, the major findings of his psychological testing as well as the proposed final diagnosis and disposition was discussed with the patient." I was told item #23, and that I was a very sick person with paranoid schizophrenia--#23 depicted the paranoia, and Dr. Durand-Hollis added the schizophrenia--with a very slim chance of recovery; probably not at all. I was shown a crude sketch in which the doctor illustrated that my condition was at the opposite end of the health spectrum to an individual in optimum physical and mental health. That was the extent of my knowledge of the doctor's diagnosis until I read Form 3947 on 10 October.
36. "He was given a recommendation for possible placement on medication in an attempt to bring his thinking disorder under control." This recommendation was offered

with the proviso that, given my gifted intellect, an opportunity to seek mental health without said medications would not be denied me.

COMMENTS/RECOMMENDATIONS

37. "His well-developed, complex systematized delusional illness as clearly documented on his three major manuscripts, all completed before he joined the Army." I am not a professional writer, though I did my best to compile a text in which all the substantiating data I could bring to bear upon each topic was offered. The evidence was complex, and drawn from many sources; and I did my best to portray to the reader in writing the logic I felt was evident in each text. Also note that RAINBOW TRIBUNAL took approximately two months to complete; OVER THE RAINBOW about eight months. Published authors usually devote at least a year, sometimes four or five years, to a manuscript.
38. "Compound myopic astigmatism--both eyes" on the SF88. A week or two following this examination, I had my vision checked at TMC--complaining of headaches and dizziness caused by my prescription glasses. I was told I had no astigmatism in either eye. The medical form was given to a staff member at Ward 52B to be included in my medical files.

NOTE: Attached is the first section of the paper mentioned in my initial rebuttal.
